

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/088,801	BRENNAN ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
ZACHARY SKELDING	1644	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) ZACHARY SKELDING. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) CHARLES MERSEREAU. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 March 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Zachary Skelding/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1644

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed applicant provided email of March 3, 2008 which was requested by the Examiner. The Examiner and Applicant's representative agreed upon additional language to clarify claim 133 and to strike a typographical error from the preamble of claim 132, thereby putting the claims into condition for allowance.